

CVPA EDIA Grant Funding Rubric 23-24

	<b>Exemplary 4</b>	<b>Adequate 3</b>	<b>Emerging 2</b>	<b>Incomplete 1</b>
<b>Proposal Justification</b>	Strong rationale and significance of proposed activities that address common UNCG EDIA needs such as: identity, social change, community, representation, marginalized voices, microaggressions, etc. Appropriate projects include lectures, concerts, exhibitions, readings, visiting scholar/performer/artist master class support, curricular development or revision, ensemble performances, workshops, or other creative proposals introduced by faculty, staff, or students within the CVPA.	Rationale and significance of proposed activities address common EDIA needs, but proposal is unclear about activities related to EDIA or projects are not quite appropriate for the grant.	Some EDIA rationale, but proposed activities don't appear connected to EDIA needs or projects are not appropriate for grant funding.	Rationale missing or unclear.
<b>Audience/Community</b>	Project outcomes or activities align with organizational vision for advancing issues of equity, diversity, inclusion, and access within CVPA for a wide audience of students, faculty, and staff.	Project outcomes are adequate for advancing EDIA in CVPA, but may not clearly align with vision and goals for reaching a larger audience.	Project outcomes somewhat align with CVPA EDIA vision and goals for grant funding, but might be unclear or include a very narrow audience.	Project outcomes are missing or goals for audience reach are very vague.
<b>Feasibility</b>	Personnel, project activities, and budget are congruent with project description and outcomes.	Project and activities are adequate for description and outcomes.	Project seems feasible, but may need more time to develop.	Project does not seem feasible.
<b>Assessment</b>	Success of project is determined through clear assessment process and reporting.	Assessing the success of project or reporting may be vague.	Assessment or reporting is very unclear as to impact.	Assessment or reporting justification is missing.
<b>Total Funding Request</b>	Total funding for project reasonably supports implementation.	Total funding somewhat supports implementation.	Total funding seems inadequate for request.	Total funding does not support implementation.
<b>Cost Justification</b>	Cost is clear and well justified for project.	Cost is clear, but seems inadequate for project or is not supported through this grant.	Cost is vague and inadequate for project or is not supported through this grant.	Cost justification is missing or extremely vague.
<b>Additional funding?</b>	Additional funding has been requested, and/or request seems adequate for this project.	Some additional funding seems necessary for success and may or not have been requested.	Some additional funding seems necessary for success, but has not been requested.	Significant additional funding seems necessary for success.
<i>Total Score</i>				
<i>Recommend Funding</i>				